| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6 | JODI DENISE THORP California State Bar No. 223667 427 "C" Street, Suite 300 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 233-3169 Fax: (619) 223-3569 email: jodithorp@thorplawoffice.com Attorneys for Steve Cutherbertson |   |  |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| 7<br>8                | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |  |
| 9                     | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |   |  |
| 10                    |   |   |  |
| 11                    | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   | CASE NO. 07CR3140-BTM   |  |
|                       |   | ) CASE NO. 0/CR3140-BTM   |  |
| 12                    | Plaintiff,  | DATE: December 21, 2007   |  |
| 13                    | V.  | ) TIME: 1:30 p.m.   |  |
| 14                    | STEVE CUTHBERTSON   | )<br>)  |  |
| 15                    | Defendant.  | ) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO<br>)   |  |
| 16<br>17              |   | 1) COMPEL PRODUCTION OF DISCOVERY;<br>2) GRANT LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER<br>MOTIONS |  |
| 18                    |   | ,   |  |
| 19                    | TO: KAREN P. HEWITT, UNITED STATES ATTORNEY; AND  |   |  |
| 20                    | PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Friday, December 21, 2007 at 1:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as   |   |  |
| 21                    | counsel may be heard, Steve Cuthbertson, by and through his counsel, Jodi Denise Thorp, will ask this Court   |   |  |
| 22                    | to enter an order granting the following motions.   |   |  |
| 23                    |   |   |  |
| 24                    |   |   |  |
| 25                    |   |   |  |
| 26                    |   |   |  |
| 27                    |   |   |  |
| 28                    |   |   |  |

1 **MOTIONS** 2 Steve Cuthbertson, by and through his counsel, Jodi Denise Thorp moves this Court pursuant to the 3 United States Constitution, the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and all other applicable statutes, case law, and local rules for an order: 4 5 (1) Compelling Production of Discovery; and (2) Granting Leave to File Further Motions. 6 7 These motions are based upon the instant motions and notice of motions, the attached statement of facts and memorandum of points and authorities, the files and records in the above-captioned 8 matter, and any and all other materials that may come to this Court's attention prior to or during the hearing 9 10 of these motions. Respectfully submitted, 11 12 Dated: December 20, 2007 JODI DENISE THORP 13 Attorneys for Mr. Cuthbertson 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

|    | JODI DENISE THORP California State Bar No. 223667 427 "C" Street, Suite 300 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 233-3169 Fax: (619) 223-3569 email: jodithorp@thorplawoffice.com |                                      |  |
|----|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 5  | Attorneys for Steve Cutherbertson   |                                      |  |
| 6  |   |                                      |  |
| 7  |   |                                      |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |                                      |  |
| 9  | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |                                      |  |
| 10 | (HONORABLE BARRY TED MOSKOWITZ)   |                                      |  |
| 11 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,   | CASE NO. 07CR3140-BTM                |  |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  | DATE: D                              |  |
| 13 | v. )  | DATE: December 21, 2007              |  |
| 14 | STEVE CUTHBERTSON )   | TIME: 1:30 p.m.                      |  |
| 15 | Defendant.  | MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES |  |
| 16 |   | IN SUPPORT OF MOTION                 |  |
| 17 |   |                                      |  |
| 18 |   |                                      |  |
| 19 |   | I.                                   |  |
| 20 |   |                                      |  |
| 21 | THIS COURT SHOULD ORDER PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE.   |                                      |  |
| 22 |   |                                      |  |
| 23 | Defendant requests the preservation of all physical evidence in this case. This includes any evidence   |                                      |  |
| 24 | that may be destroyed, lost, or otherwise put out of the possession, custody, or care of the government (or its   |                                      |  |
| 25 | private contractors) in this case. <u>United States v. Riley</u> , 189 F.3d 802, 806-808 (9th Cir.1999). This request   |                                      |  |
| 26 | includes, but is not limited to: (1) any money seized; (2) the results of any fingerprint analysis; (3) the   |                                      |  |
| 27 | defendant's personal effects; (4) the agents' rough notes; (5) any radio broadcast, if it is recorded; and (5) any  |                                      |  |
| 28 | evidence seized from the defendant or any third party (i.e., material witnesses, co-defendants). This request   |                                      |  |

1 also includes any material or percipient witnesses who is likely to become unavailable. Defendant requests that government counsel be ordered to notify the agencies and private contractors with custody of such evidence be informed of the Court's preservation order.

II.

## THIS COURT SHOULD COMPEL DISCOVERY.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

Mr. Cuthbertson moves for the production by the government of the following discovery. This request is not limited to those items about which the prosecutor knows, but includes all discovery listed below that is in the custody, control, care, or knowledge of any government agency. See generally Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419 (1995); United States v. Bryan, 868 F.2d 1032 (9th Cir. 1989). Mr. Cuthbertson specifically requests, any bank manuals on how to respond to bank robberies, DMV photos, bank surveillance tapes, any digital photos, videos, CD Roms, bank certifications, and any colored photo-lineups.

- 1. The Defendant's Statements. The Government must disclose to the defendant all copies of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements made by the defendant which the Government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements, whether recorded or not, which the Government intends to introduce at trial and any written summaries of the defendant's oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of the Government agent; any response to any Miranda warnings which may have been given to the defendant; and any other statements by the defendant. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A). The Advisory Committee Notes and the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make clear that the Government must reveal all the defendant's statements, whether oral or written, regardless of whether the government intends to make any use of those statements.
- 2. Arrest Reports, Notes and Dispatch Tapes. The defense also specifically requests that all arrest reports, notes and dispatch or any other tapes that relate to the circumstances surrounding his arrest or any questioning, if such reports have not already been produced in their entirety, be turned over to him. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, records, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of the defendant or any other discoverable material is contained. This is all discoverable 28 under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). See also Loux v.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- **3.** Brady Material. The defendant requests all documents, statements, agents' reports, and tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt and/or which affects the credibility of the government's case. Impeachment and exculpatory evidence both fall within Brady's definition of evidence favorable to the accused. United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97 (1976).
- Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence. As discussed above, any information which may result in a more favorable sentence must also be disclosed pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). The Government must disclose any cooperation or attempted cooperation by the defendant, as well as any information that could affect any base offense level or specific offense characteristic under Chapter Two of the Guidelines. Also included in this request is any information relevant to a Chapter Three adjustment, a determination of the defendant's criminal history, or any other application of the Guidelines.
- 5. **The Defendant's Prior Record.** Evidence of a prior record is available under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(B). Counsel specifically requests a complete copy of any criminal record.
- 6. Any Proposed 404(b) Evidence. Evidence of prior similar acts is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(C)) and Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) and 609. In addition, under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b), "upon request of the accused, the prosecution . . . shall provide reasonable notice in advance of trial . . . of the general nature . . . . " of any evidence the government proposes to introduce under Fed. R. Evid. 404(b) at trial. Sufficient notice requires the government to "articulate precisely the evidential hypothesis by which a fact of consequence may be inferred from the other acts evidence." United States v. Mehrmanesh, 689 F.2d 822, 830 (9th Cir. 1982) (emphasis added; internal citations omitted); see also United States v. Brooke, 4 F.3d 1480, 1483 (9th Cir. 1993) (reaffirming Mehrmanesh and reversing convictions).

This includes any "TECS" records (records of prior border crossings) that the Government intends to

28 introduce at trial, whether in its case-in-chief, impeachment, or rebuttal. Although there is nothing 07CR3140-BTM

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

1 || intrinsically improper about prior border crossings, they are nonetheless subject to 404(b), as they are "other acts" evidence that the government must produce before trial. United States v. Vega, 188 F.3d 1150, 1154-1155 (9th Cir. 1999).

The defendant requests that such notice be given three weeks before trial to give the defense time to adequately investigate and prepare for trial.

- 7. **Evidence Seized.** Physical evidence seized as a result of any search, either warrantless or with a warrant, is discoverable under Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(C)).
- 8. **Henthorn Material.** The defendant requests that the Assistant United States Attorney ("AUSA") assigned to this case oversee (not personally conduct) a review of all personnel files of each agent involved in the present case for impeachment material. See Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 437, 438 (1995) (holding that "the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to the others acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the police"); United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991). This request includes, but is not limited to, any complaints filed (by a member of the public, by another agent, or any other person) against the agent, whether or not the investigating authority has taken any action, as well as any matter for which a disciplinary review was undertaken, whether or not any disciplinary action was ultimately recommended. The defendant further requests production of any such information at least one week prior to the motion hearing and two weeks prior to trial. If the prosecutor is uncertain whether certain information should be disclosed pursuant to this request, this information should be produced to the Court in advance of the motion hearing and the trial for an in camera inspection.
- 9. **Tangible Objects.** The defendant requests the opportunity to inspect, copy, and test, as necessary, all other documents and tangible objects, including photographs, books, papers, documents, fingerprint analyses, vehicles, or copies of portions thereof, which are material to the defense or intended for use in the government's case-in-chief or were obtained from or belong to the defendant. Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(C)). Specifically, the defendant requests copies of all photographs in the government's possession of the alleged compartment.
- 10. **Expert Witnesses.** The defendant requests the name, qualifications, and a written summary of the testimony of any person that the government intends to call as an expert witness during its case in chief. 28 Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G). This summary should include a description of the witness' opinion(s), as well

1 as the bases and the reasons for the opinion(s). See United States v. Duvall, 272 F.3d 825 (7th Cir. 2001) (finding that government's written expert notice did not adequately summarize or describe police detective's testimony in drug prosecution where notice provided only a list of the general subject matters to be covered and failed to identify what opinion the expert would offer on those subjects). This request includes, but is not limited to, disclosure of the qualifications of any government witness who will testify that she understands and/or speaks Spanish or any other foreign language that may have been used during the course of an interview with the defendant or any other witness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

The defense requests the notice of expert testimony be provided at a minimum of two weeks prior to trial so that the defense can properly prepare to address and respond to this testimony, including obtaining its own expert and/or investigating the opinions, credentials of the government's expert and obtain a hearing in advance of trial to determine the admissibility of qualifications of any expert. See Kumho v. Carmichael Tire Co., 526 U.S. 137, 119 S.Ct. 1167, 1176 (1999) (trial judge is "gatekeeper" and must determine, reliability and relevancy of expert testimony and such determinations may require "special briefing or other proceedings")

- 11. Impeachment evidence. The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective government witness has engaged in any criminal act whether or not resulting in a conviction and whether any witness has made a statement favorable to the defendant. See Fed. R. Evid. 608, 609 and 613. Such evidence is discoverable under Brady v. Maryland, supra. See United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988) (witness' prior record); Thomas v. United States, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965) (evidence that detracts from a witness' credibility).
- 12. Evidence of Criminal Investigation of Any Government Witness. The defense requests any evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by federal, state or local authorities for any criminal conduct. United States v. Chitty, 760 F.2d 425 (2d Cir. 1985).
- 13. Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie. The defense requests any evidence that any prospective government witness is biased or prejudiced against the defendant, or has a motive to falsify or distort his or her testimony. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39 (1987); United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988).
  - Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate, or Veracity. The 14.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 15. Witness Addresses. The defense requests the name and last known address of each prospective government witness. See United States v. Napue, 834 F.2d 1311 (7th Cir. 1987); United States v. Tucker, 716 F.2d 576 (9th Cir. 1983) (failure to interview government witnesses by counsel is ineffective); United States v. Cook, 608 F.2d 1175, 1181 (9th Cir. 1979) (defense has equal right to talk to witnesses). The defendant also requests the name and last known address of every witness to the crime or crimes charged (or any of the overt acts committed in furtherance thereof) who will not be called as a government witness. United States v. Cadet, 727 F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984).
- **16.** Name of Witnesses Favorable to the Defendant. The defendant requests the name of any witness who made any arguably favorable statement concerning the defendant or who could not identify her or who was unsure of her identity, or participation in the crime charged. Jackson v. Wainwright, 390 F.2d 288 (5th Cir. 1968); Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 223 (4th Cir. 1980); Jones v. Jago, 575 F.2d 1164,1168 (6th Cir.1978); Hudson v. Blackburn, 601 F.2d 785 (5th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1086 (1980).
- Statements Relevant to the Defense. The defendant requests disclosure of any statement that 17. may be "relevant to any possible defense or contention" that she might assert. United States v. Bailleaux, 685 F.2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982). This includes Grand Jury transcripts which are relevant to the defense motion to dismiss the indictment.
- 18. **Jencks Act Material.** The defendant requests production in advance of th emotion hearing or trial of all material, including dispatch tapes, which the government must produce pursuant to the Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500 and Fed. R. Crim. P. 26.2. A verbal acknowledgment that "rough" notes constitute an accurate account of the witness' interview is sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as a statement under section 3500(e)(1). Campbell v. United States, 373 U.S. 487, 490-92 (1963); see also United States v. 28 Boshell, 952 F.2d 1101 (9th Cir. 1991) (holding that interview notes constitutes Jencks material when an

6

9 10

11

12 13

15

16

14

17

19 20

22

21

24

25

23

26 27

28

- 1 agent reviews notes with the subject of the interview); see also United States v. Riley, 189 F.3d 802, 806-808 (9th Cir. 1999). Advance production will avoid the possibility of delay of the motion hearing or trial to allow the defendant to investigate the Jencks material. Defendant requests pre-trial disclosure of such statements to avoid unnecessary recesses and delays and to allow defense counsel to prepare for, and use properly any Jencks statements during cross-examination.
  - Giglio Information. Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), the defendant 19. requests all statements and/or promises, expressed or implied, made to any government witnesses, in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other information which could arguably be used for the impeachment of any government witnesses.
  - 20. Agreements Between the Government and Witnesses. The defendant requests discovery regarding any express or implicit promise, understanding, offer of immunity, of past, present, or future compensation, or any other kind of agreement or understanding, including any implicit understanding relating to criminal or civil income tax, forfeiture or fine liability, between any prospective government witness and the government (federal, state and/or local). This request also includes any discussion with a potential witness about or advice concerning any immigration benefits, any contemplated prosecution, or any possible plea bargain, even if no bargain was made or the advice not followed.
  - 21. **Informants and Cooperating Witnesses.** The defendant requests disclosure of the names and addresses of all informants or cooperating witnesses used or to be used in this case, and in particular, disclosure of any informant who was a percipient witness in this case or otherwise participated in the crime charged against the defendant. The government must disclose the informant's identity and location, as well as disclose the existence of any other percipient witness unknown or unknowable to the defense. Roviaro v. United States, 353 U.S. 52, 61-62 (1957). The government must disclose any information derived from informants which exculpates or tends to exculpate the defendant.
  - 22. Bias by Informants or Cooperating Witnesses. The defendant requests disclosure of any information indicating bias on the part of any informant or cooperating witness. Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972). Such information would include what, if any, inducements, favors, payments or threats were made to the witness to secure cooperation with the authorities.
    - 23. Personnel Records of Government Officers Involved in the Arrest. Defendant requests

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28